

## checkCIF/PLATON report

You have not supplied any structure factors. As a result the full set of tests cannot be run.

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found.      CIF dictionary      Interpreting this report

### Datablock: nacareniobsite-Ce

---

Bond precision:	Si- O = 0.0097 A	Wavelength=0.71073	
Cell:	a=7.4684 (15)	b=5.6891 (11)	c=18.891 (4)
	alpha=90	beta=101.37 (3)	gamma=90
Temperature:	293 K		
	Calculated	Reported	
Volume	786.9 (3)	786.9 (3)	
Space group	P 21/c	P 21/c	
Hall group	-P 2ybc	-P 2ybc	
Moiety formula	F4 Nb1.78 O28 Si8 Y7.23, 3.778 (F), 3.525 (Ca)	?	
Sum formula	Ca3.53 F7.78 Nb1.78 O28 Si8 Y7.23	Ca3.01 Ce0.55 F2.76 Gd0.02 La0.23 Na2.77 Nb0.77 Nd0.22 O15.24 P	
Mr	1769.47	838.50	
Dx, g cm <sup>-3</sup>	3.734	3.539	
Z	1	2	
Mu (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	14.809	5.650	
F000	831.2	794.9	
F000'	809.43		
h, k, lmax	8, 6, 22	8, 6, 22	
Nref	1380	1456	
Tmin, Tmax	0.307, 0.919		
Tmin'	0.262		

Correction method= Not given

Data completeness= 1.055

Theta (max)= 24.996

R(reflections)= 0.0680 ( 1421)

wR2(reflections)=  
0.1735 ( 1456)

S = 1.510

Npar= 124

---

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format

**test-name\_ALERT\_alert-type\_alert-level.**

Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

---

### Alert level A

PLAT051_ALERT_1_A	Mu(calc) and Mu(CIF) Ratio Differs from 1.0 by .	162.11 %
PLAT058_ALERT_1_A	Maximum Transmission Factor Missing .....	?
PLAT059_ALERT_1_A	Minimum Transmission Factor Missing .....	?
PLAT213_ALERT_2_A	Atom O4 has ADP max/min Ratio .....	8.0 oblate

---

### Alert level B

ABSMU01\_ALERT\_1\_B The ratio of given/expected absorption coefficient lies outside the range 0.95 <> 1.05  
Calculated value of mu = 5.215  
Value of mu given = 5.650

PLAT043_ALERT_1_B	Calculated and Reported Mol. Weight Differ by ..	92.47 Check
PLAT213_ALERT_2_B	Atom Mol has ADP max/min Ratio .....	4.8 prolat
PLAT213_ALERT_2_B	Atom Mh has ADP max/min Ratio .....	4.1 oblate
PLAT213_ALERT_2_B	Atom MhA has ADP max/min Ratio .....	4.1 oblate

---

### Alert level C

CHEMW01\_ALERT\_1\_C The ratio of given/expected molecular weight as calculated from the \_chemical\_formula\_sum lies outside the range 0.99 <> 1.01  
Calculated formula weight = 817.0982  
Formula weight given = 838.5000

SHFSU01\_ALERT\_2\_C The absolute value of parameter shift to su ratio > 0.05  
Absolute value of the parameter shift to su ratio given 0.060  
Additional refinement cycles may be required.

PLAT018_ALERT_1_C	_diffn_measured_fraction_theta_max .NE. *_full	! Check
PLAT068_ALERT_1_C	Reported F000 Differs from Calcd (or Missing)...	Please Check
PLAT077_ALERT_4_C	Unitcell Contains Non-integer Number of Atoms ..	Please Check
PLAT080_ALERT_2_C	Maximum Shift/Error .....	0.06 Why ?
PLAT094_ALERT_2_C	Ratio of Maximum / Minimum Residual Density ....	3.94 Report
PLAT097_ALERT_2_C	Large Reported Max. (Positive) Residual Density	3.31 eA-3
PLAT213_ALERT_2_C	Atom Si1 has ADP max/min Ratio .....	3.5 prolat
PLAT213_ALERT_2_C	Atom Si2 has ADP max/min Ratio .....	3.5 prolat
PLAT213_ALERT_2_C	Atom O2 has ADP max/min Ratio .....	3.5 prolat
PLAT241_ALERT_2_C	High 'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of	07 Check

---

### Alert level G

FORMU01\_ALERT\_2\_G There is a discrepancy between the atom counts in the \_chemical\_formula\_sum and the formula from the \_atom\_site\* data.  
Atom count from \_chemical\_formula\_sum:Ca3.01 Ce0.55 F2.76 Gd.02 La0.23  
Atom count from the \_atom\_site data: Cal.7625 F3.888800 Nb0.8888 O14

CELLZ01\_ALERT\_1\_G Difference between formula and atom\_site contents detected.

CELLZ01\_ALERT\_1\_G ALERT: Large difference may be due to a  
 symmetry error - see SYMMG tests  
 From the CIF: `_cell_formula_units_Z` 2  
 From the CIF: `_chemical_formula_sum` Ca3.01 Ce0.55 F2.76 Gd0.02 La0.23  
 TEST: Compare cell contents of formula and atom\_site data  
 WARNING: Unexpected atom type is in site list: Y  
 WARNING: Formula and atom\_type\_symbol element names mismatch.

atom	Z*formula	cif sites	diff
Ca	6.02	3.53	2.49
Ce	1.10	0.00	1.10
F	5.52	7.78	-2.26
Gd	0.04	0.00	0.04
La	0.46	0.00	0.46
Na	5.54	0.00	5.54
Nb	1.54	1.78	-0.24
Nd	0.44	0.00	0.44
O	30.48	28.00	2.48
Pr	0.12	0.00	0.12
Si	8.02	8.00	0.02
Sm	2.00	0.00	2.00

WARNING: Site labels do not match formula elements

PLAT004_ALERT_5_G	Polymeric Structure Found with Maximum Dimension	2	Info
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of MH	as	Y
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of AP	as	Y
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of MO1	as	NB
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of	MO2as	CA
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of	MO3as	CA
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of XM	as	F
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of APA	as	Y
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of MHA	as	Y
PLAT045_ALERT_1_G	Calculated and Reported Z Differ by a Factor ...	0.500	Check
PLAT083_ALERT_2_G	SHELXL Second Parameter in WGHT Unusually Large	9.00	Why ?
PLAT171_ALERT_4_G	The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains EADP Records	6	Report
PLAT199_ALERT_1_G	Reported <code>_cell_measurement_temperature</code> ..... (K)	293	Check
PLAT200_ALERT_1_G	Reported <code>_diffrn_ambient_temperature</code> ..... (K)	293	Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G	Atom Site Occupancy of Mo1	Constrained at	0.8888 Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G	Atom Site Occupancy of Mh	Constrained at	0.8519 Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G	Atom Site Occupancy of Ap	Constrained at	0.7338 Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G	Atom Site Occupancy of Xm	Constrained at	0.9444 Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G	Atom Site Occupancy of Mo2	Constrained at	0.5905 Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G	Atom Site Occupancy of Mo3	Constrained at	0.586 Check
PLAT301_ALERT_3_G	Main Residue Disorder .....(Resd 1 )	21%	Note
PLAT302_ALERT_4_G	Anion/Solvent/Minor-Residue Disorder (Resd 2 )	100%	Note
PLAT302_ALERT_4_G	Anion/Solvent/Minor-Residue Disorder (Resd 3 )	100%	Note
PLAT302_ALERT_4_G	Anion/Solvent/Minor-Residue Disorder (Resd 4 )	100%	Note
PLAT720_ALERT_4_G	Number of Unusual/Non-Standard Labels .....	8	Note
PLAT811_ALERT_5_G	No ADDSYM Analysis: Too Many Excluded Atoms ....	!	Info
PLAT870_ALERT_4_G	ALERTS Related to Twinning Effects Suppressed ..	!	Info
PLAT883_ALERT_1_G	No Info/Value for <code>_atom_sites_solution_primary</code> .		Please Do !
PLAT941_ALERT_3_G	Average HKL Measurement Multiplicity .....	3.8	Low
PLAT965_ALERT_2_G	The SHELXL WEIGHT Optimisation has not Converged		Please Check
PLAT967_ALERT_5_G	Note: Two-Theta Cutoff Value in Embedded .res ..	50.0	Degree

---

4 **ALERT level A** = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain

5 **ALERT level B** = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully

12 **ALERT level C** = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight  
34 **ALERT level G** = General information/check it is not something unexpected

22 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data  
15 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient  
2 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low  
13 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion  
3 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check

---

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special\_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

### **Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals**

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

### **Publication of your CIF in other journals**

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

### **Validation response form**

Please find below a validation response form (VRF) that can be filled in and pasted into your CIF.

```
# start Validation Reply Form
_vrf_PLAT051_nacareniobsite-Ce
;
PROBLEM: Mu(calc) and Mu(CIF) Ratio Differs from 1.0 by .      162.11 %
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT058_nacareniobsite-Ce
;
PROBLEM: Maximum Transmission Factor Missing .....          ?
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT059_nacareniobsite-Ce
```

```

;
PROBLEM: Minimum Transmission Factor Missing ..... ?
RESPONSE: ...
;
_vrf_PLAT213_nacareniobsite-Ce
;
PROBLEM: Atom O4                has ADP max/min Ratio ..... 8.0 oblate
RESPONSE: ...
;
# end Validation Reply Form

```

**PLATON version of 06/07/2023; check.def file version of 30/06/2023**

Datablock nacareniobsite-Ce - ellipsoid plot

