



---

BULLETIN DE L'ASSOCIATION MINÉRALOGIQUE DU CANADA

# THE CANADIAN MINERALOGIST

JOURNAL OF THE MINERALOGICAL ASSOCIATION OF CANADA

---

Dear Referee:

The enclosed manuscript has been submitted to *The Canadian Mineralogist*. Thank you for accepting to provide an evaluation of it. Duplicate copies of our standard forms are enclosed, and are also available at our web site [[www.mineralogicalassociation.ca](http://www.mineralogicalassociation.ca)].

The task of a referee involves three main components: speed and understanding, confidentiality, and thoroughness.

**SPEED AND UNDERSTANDING.** Unless the paper is of exceptional length or complexity, **your report should be dispatched within three weeks of receipt of the manuscript.** If, as a result of your reading, you find that one of your colleagues is very well placed to add a few comments, please go ahead and solicit these. The author(s) should benefit from the best feedback possible in the time frame available. By the same token, if you feel that you are unable to act, please pass the manuscript to an appropriate colleague in your organization or return the manuscript immediately. Notify the undersigned (preferably by e-mail or telephone) of these developments.

**CONFIDENTIALITY.** In exceptional cases you may find it desirable to discuss the paper with one of your colleagues; if you do this, please ensure that your discussion remains confidential. The Editor will not reveal the identity of the referees to the author unless instructed to do so. If, nevertheless, you wish to correspond directly with the author, the first contact should be made through the Editor or Associate Editor.

**THOROUGHNESS.** In addition to answering the specific questions on our standard form for referees, please feel free to add any detailed comments on technical points and effectiveness of presentation that seem pertinent to you. **Please note that you may make these either confidentially or for the author's eyes, or both.** In any event, please phrase all your comments in such a way that the author will realize that your criticism is meant to be **helpful and constructive.**

The editor thanks you for your assistance in ensuring a measure of quality control of the articles selected for publication in *The Canadian Mineralogist*.

Yours sincerely,

Associate Editor



Report of referee #

Please answer each question and add any detailed comment you deem necessary. Comments may also be made directly on the typescript.

1. Do you consider this paper to be appropriate for publication in *The Canadian Mineralogist*? Base your overall recommendation on the paper's originality, the effectiveness of presentation and the soundness of the scientific arguments.

<b>YES</b>	Without change or with but	<b>YES</b>	With major, important	<b>NO</b>	Not without a complete	<b>NO</b>	Not acceptable
<input type="checkbox"/>	minor changes	<input type="checkbox"/>	revisions or additions	<input type="checkbox"/>	rewriting or reorganization	<input type="checkbox"/>	

- |    |                                                                                                                                                                |                          |                          |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2. | Is the ABSTRACT an adequate summary of the new findings and still concise?                                                                                     | <b>YES</b>               | <b>NO</b>                |
|    |                                                                                                                                                                | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. | Is the paper adequately CONDENSED? If not, which parts should be condensed?                                                                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. | Are all FIGURES essential and acceptable? Will they stand reduction to a width of 7 cm (single column) or 14 cm (double-column width)?                         | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. | Is proper CREDIT given to related work? Are the references up-to-date?                                                                                         | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. | If new names, redefinition or discreditation of mineral species are proposed, has approval been given by the IMA Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. | Are all TABLES essential and acceptable for reproduction?                                                                                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. | Is there material in this paper that should be deposited (rather than published) in the Depository of Unpublished Data?                                        | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Detailed COMMENTS should be provided on the next page. Please send in **two** copies of this report.

Associate Editor who is handling this manuscript:

**DETAILED COMMENTS**

---

**CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS**

Referee's Signature:

Date:

Do you wish to remain anonymous?

Yes

No